

**LEGAL_AWARENESS IN 2026 ITEM 89 EXTRACT OF EMAIL DATED 03 03 2026 TO OSBC ETC REGARDING
NEED FOR MODIFICATION OF VAKALATNAMA**

jayanti das <jayantidasorissa2012@gmail.com>

**Tue, Mar 3,
2:19 PM**

2026 to orissastatebarcouncil, presidentofindia, cji.office, cj.or, cj.pt, hcpat-bih, mljoffice, lci-dla, lawsec.or, migsociety, advgen, rg.orihc, courtmatters.bci

To

CHAIRMAN OSBC CUTTACK 3RD REMINDER

Copy to

PRESIDENT'S OFFICE

CJI OFFICE NEW DELHI

CJO OFFICE CUTTACK

CHIEF JUSTICE SANGAM KUMAR SAHOO PATNA HIGH COURT cj.pt@nic.in hcpat-bih@nic.in

MLJ OFFICE NEW DELHI

LAW COMMISSION NEW DELHI

SECRETARY LAW BHUBANESWAR

SUPREME COURT MIDDLE INCOME GROUP LEGAL AID SOCIETY migsociety@gmail.com

ADVOCATE GENERAL OHC CUTTACK

REGISTRAR GENERAL OHC CUTTACK

BCI NEW DELHI

SUBJECT-

3RD REMINDER

**WITHDRAWAL OF PETITION BY ADVOCATE GIVEN LICENSE BY OSBC AND ENROLLED WITH OSBC AFTER
VERIFICATION OF THEIR RECORDS**

NEED FOR MODIFICATION OF VAKALATNAMA

Sir

With due regard I want to inform you that many advocates enrolled with OSBC are filing withdrawal petitions in the court without prior intimation and without consent of the petitioner and without citing the reason for withdrawal and sometimes it is handwritten in the court.

The advocates do not intimate the date of listing, date of withdrawal and keep the client in dark for several days till it comes to the notice of the client by other means and by that time the client loses the time limit for searching for another advocate to file restoration petition.

The advocates also dispose of the case for non appearance after being fully paid and although it is a misconduct but it is difficult for the client to go to OSBC for relief going through tedious paperwork and waiting for at least 20 years in both OSBC and BCI without any justice as the advocates are immune for their vote which they cast for electing the Bar Council members.

There are serious conflicts of interest in both OSBC and BCI for vote bank politics.

There is also intrusion of politics into BAR and there is no mention of their political affiliation in their VAKALATNAMA.

It should be made mandatory to mention REASON OF WITHDRAWAL in the withdrawal petition like OBSERVATION AND DIRECTION OF THE COURT, DECISION OF THE ADVOCATE HAVING VAKALATNAMA AND OTHER REASON IN WRITING, INSTRUCTION OF THE CLIENT IF ANY ON AFFIDAVIT so that if there is any issue then it can be brought to the notice of the court later on.

The SUO MOTU and ARBITRARY DECISION OF THE ADVOCATE HAVING VAKALATNAMA to withdraw the petition is suicidal for the client both financially and legally as it strangulates the client from all sides as he has no other recourse for justice as in a withdrawal petition he cannot file again and the advocate take full advantage of the situation.

No other advocate is willing to take up the matter in a withdrawal petition and the grievances raised in the petition are never redressed and the advocate does it with a malafide intention by filing the withdrawal petition to stop the petitioner moving ahead in the same issues by knowing fully well that it is the dead end and the advocate could have disposed of the case by NON APPEARANCE ALSO where there is chance of RESTORATION but these type of advocates want to destroy fully the petitioner for reasons best known to them.

Under the circumstances the vakalatnama should be re formatted and should not be autocratic and arbitrary in nature and one sided power is given to the advocate in vakalatnama and full fledged power is given to the advocates once it is signed with full immunity and backup from the advocate fraternity, OSBC and BCI.

If the advocate withdraws the petition without the consent of the client and reasons thereof in writing then if a complaint is filed against the said advocate then his license should be cancelled and HE SHOULD REFUND THE FEES TAKEN AS IT IS DONE IF A CLIENT HIRES AN ENROLLED ADVOCATE FROM OHCMIGLAS and pay compensation for the damage to the client as the whole advocate practice is based ON TRUST OF THE CLIENT AND REPUTATION OF THE ADVOCATE and if both these are damaged then there is nothing left in the advocate ship.

The apathy of both OSBC and BCI to protect the dignity of judiciary LIKE SUPREME COURT and ORISSA HIGH COURT IN THE MATTER OF MISCONDUCT OF AORS OF ORISSA GOVERNMENT IN SUPREME COURT LIKE KIRAN KUMAR PATRA WHO HAS DONE UTMOST DAMAGE BY MISGUIDING CJI AND OTHER HONORABLE JUDGES OF SUPREME COURT SEVERAL TIMES has encouraged the advocate to commit misconduct being fully aware that he can handle both OSBC and BCI.

You do the needful to protect the dignity of judiciary and advocate fraternity as CLIENT IS THE BACKBONE AND THE SPINAL CORD OF THE LEGAL SYSTEM BECAUSE OF WHOM THE LIVELIHOOD AND THE STATURE IS THERE IN THE SOCIETY AND DO NOT KILL THE TRUST OF THE CLIENT FOR YOUR BENEFIT AT LEAST.

THERE IS SERIOUS NEED FOR ACTIVATING ORISSA HIGH COURT MIDDLE INCOME GROUP LEGAL AID SOCIETY ohcmiglas WHICH WAS INAUGURATED BY CHIEF JUSTICE OF INDIA DEEPAK MISHRA IN 2016 2017 LIKE migsociety@gmail.com SUPREME COURT MIDDLE INCOME GROUP LEGAL AID SOCIETY hcpat-bih@nic.in phclsc@gmail.com PATNA HIGH COURT MIDDLE INCOME GROUP LEGAL AID SOCIETY jhcmiglas@gmail.com JHARKHAND HIGH COURT MIDDLE INCOME GROUP LEGAL AID SOCIETY contact@dhcmilas.com HIGH COURT OF DELHI (MIDDLE INCOME GROUP) LEGAL AID SOCIETY OR DHCMILAS mig.legalaid@allahabadhighcourt.in ALLAHABAD HIGH COURT MIDDLE INCOME GROUP LEGAL AID SOCIETY mphc@nic.in HIGH COURT OF JABALPUR (MIDDLE INCOME GROUP) LEGAL AID SOCIETY ETC TO PROTECT THE INTEREST OF THE CLIENT UNDER THE PATRONSHIP OF CHIEF JUSTICE

Yours faithfully

Jayanti Das

www.jayantidas.com